



Commercial High Strength Waste Design



My Background:

- Professional Engineer
- Over 30 years in the decentralized wastewater industry
- Actively involved: Serve on many boards, authored papers, research

This presentation is: High Strength Waste Best Practices Offers design recommendations and sources

- 1. What is the expected design life of a typical residential system in Colorado?
 - a. 0-5 years
 b. 5-10 years
 c. 10-20 years
 d. Greater than 20 years

2. What is the expected design life of a typical restaurant system?

a. 0-5 years
b. 5-10 years
c. 10-20 years
d. Greater than 20 years

What is the most difficult facility type for an onsite wastewater system?

Think about it, we will review at the end of the presentation

3. For High Strength Waste systems, I design based upon:

- a. Strictly Per code Good
- b. Per code with extra considerations **Better**
- c. Custom design per facility type Best



- IWT provides Design Assistance on commercial HSW projects
- We regularly see designers specifying system sizing based upon residential loading rates
- What would you recommend?
- IWT is in a Precarious position



Design Decisions

- As designers we tend to think of the critical factors
- But who's decision is it?
- It is the owner's decision
- The designer can offer the owner choices



Code of Colorado Regulations Secretary of State State of Colorado

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT

Water Quality Control Commission

REGULATION NO. 43 - ON-SITE WASTEWATER TREATMENT SYSTEM REGULATION

5 CCR 1002-43

151. "Wastewater, high strength" means 1. wastewater from a structure having BOD 5 greater than 300 mg/L; and/or TSS greater than 200 mg/L; and/or fats, oils, and grease greater than 50 mg/L; or, 2. effluent from a septic tank or other pretreatment component (as defined by NSF/ANSI Standard 40 testing protocol) that has BOD 5 greater than 180 mg/L; and/or TSS greater than 80 mg/L; and/or fats, oils, and grease greater than 25 mg/L and is applied to an infiltrative surface.

High strength waste

Many State Codes do not define HSW

- State of Georgia: > 200 mg/l BOD, TSS
- N, P, pH, or other specifics
- HSW = "Abnormal Waste"

Best Definition: HSW is anything other than Residential Waste

KNOW YOUR FACILITY TYPE

Gathering information on influent and effluent requirements

Facility Types

RV Parks - Campgrounds Schools Rest Areas Convenience Stores Breweries Wineries

Hospitals – Health Care Facilities Mobile Home Parks Shopping Centers Laundry Mats Churches

BOD Strength & Restaurant Practices

BOD: 800-1000 mg/L range Some types of food produced higher BOD

A menu review

- Sauces, sweets, etc.
- Alcohol service
- Grease, practices
- Know facility practices
 - Single service versus full plate service, or paper
 - Ice generation
 - Thawing Practices

Sampling of actual facilities

 Take more than one sample just after busy periods

Influent Characteristics & Flow

Resources for determining waste strength:

- 1. Literature
- 2. Codes
- 3. Similar Facilities

Data: Flow data and sampling for strength, inspect the facility for usage habits

Literature: Restaurant BOD Strength

A study performed by Lesikar in 2004 in Texas demonstrated:

• 75% of wastewater samples from 28 different kinds of restaurants were 1400 mg/L or less with an average of 1000 mg/L.

Type of Restaurants	Number of Systems in Group	Average BOD mg/L
Fast Food/Burgers	6	974
Pizza	1	1856
Chinese	4	1364
Mexican	9	1254
American	1	1063
American Buffet	1	792
Steakhouse	2	601
Seafood	3	555

Louisiana Administrative Code

Title 51, Part XIII



§1501. General Requirements

A. See Note (a)

Place	Loading	Daily Average Flow Gallous per Day	Daily Average BOD ₅ Pounds per Day	Design Basis	
Apartments		250	0.425	one bedroom	
		300	0.52	two bedroom	
		400	0.68	three bedroom	
Assembly	Note (b)	2	0.0034	per seat	
Bowling Alleys (no food service)	Note (b)	75 0.13		per lane	
Churches	Note (b)	5	0.0088	per sanctuary seat	
Churches (with permitted kitchens)	Note (c)	10	0.017	per sanctuary seat	
Country Clubs		50	0.085	per member	
Dance Halls	Note (b)	2	0.0034	per person	
Drive-In Theaters		5	0.0085	per car space	
Factories (no showers)		20	0.051	per employee	
Factories (with showers)		35	0.06	per employee	

Place	Loading	Daily Average Flow Gallous per Day	Daily Average BOD ₅ Pounds per Day	Design Basis
Food Service Operations				
Ordinary Restaurant (not 24 hour)		35	0.12	per seat
24-hour Restaurant		50	0.17	per seat
Banquet Rooms		5	0.017	per seat
Restaurant Along Freeway		100	0.33	per seat
Curb Service (drive-in)		50	0.17	per car space
Bar, Cocktail Lounges, Taverns				
(no food service or very little food service)		25	0.084	per seat
(with regular food service)		35	0.12	per seat
Video Poker Machine		100	0.20	per machine
Fast Food Restaurants		40	0.13	per seat

Place	Loading	Daily Average Flow Gallous per Day	Daily Average BOD ₅ Pounds per Day	Design Basis
Hotel/Motel Food Service		45	0.17	per room
Homes/ Mobile Homes in Subdivisions		400	0.68	per dwelling
Individual Homes Mobile		250	0.425	one bedroom

PUBLIC HEALTH SANITARY CODE

Hospitals (no resident personnel)	Note (c)	200	0.51	per bed
Institutions (residents)	Note (c)	100	0.25	per person
Municipalities		100	0.17	per person

Place	Loading	Daily Average Flow Gallons per Day	Daily Average BOD ₅ Pounds per Day	Design Basis	
Mobile Home Parks					
up to 5 trailer spaces		400	0.68	per mobile home space	
6 trailer spaces or more		300	0.51	per mobile home space	
Motels	Note (b)	100	0.12	per unit	
Nursing and Rest Homes	Note (c)	100	0.25	per patient	
		100	0.17	per resident employee	
Office Buildings		20	0.051	per employee	
Recreational Vehicle Dumping Stations				Consult OPH	
Recreational Vehicle Parks and Camps		125	0.21	per trailer or tent space	
Retail Store		20	0.034	per employee	
Schools• • Elementary	Note (c)	15	0.038	per pupil	
Schools- High and Junior High	Note (c)	20	0.051	per pupil	

Place	Loading	Daily Average Flow Gallons per Day	Daily Average BOD ₅ Pounds per Day	Design Basis
Retail Fuel Stations (Located on major highways, etc., and whose primary function is to provide fuel and service to motor vehicles)	Note (d)	250	0.43	per individual vehicle fueling point (up to the first four)
		125	0.21	for each additional individual vehicle fueling point
Shopping Centers (no food service or laundries		0.2	0.00034	per square foot of floor space
Swimming Pool (including employees)		10	0.017	per swimmer
Showers		20	0.04	per shower

Place	Loading	Daily Average Flow Gallons per Day	Daily Average BOD ₅ Pounds per Day	Design Basis
Vacation Cottages		50	0.12	per person
Youth and	Note (c)	50	0.12	per person
Recreation Camps				
Washing Machines		400	1 34	per machine

Louisiana Administrative Code

Title 51, Part XIII, Chapter 15

Place	Loading	Daily Average Flow Gallons per Day	Daily Average BOD, Pounds per Day	Design Basis
Food Service				
Operations				
Ordinary		35	0.12	per seat
Restaurant				
(not 24 hour)				
24-hour		50	0.17	per seat
Restaurant				
Banquet		5	0.017	per seat
Rooms				
Restaurant Along		100	0.33	per seat
Freeway				
Curb Service		50	0.17	per car space
(drive-in)				
Bar, Cocktail				
Lounges, Taverns				
(no food service or		25	0.084	per seat
very little food				_
convicto)				

Colorado Regulation 43

TABLE 6-2 For Design Purposes, the Estimated Daily Wastewater Flow and BOD₅ Load Per Person Unless Otherwise Noted

RESIDENTIAL WASTEWATER	GPD	BOD₅ IN POUNDS PER DAY
Single-family dwellings	75	.20
Auxiliary buildings, by fixture type		
Bath/Shower	14.7	.014
Dishwasher	1.8	.002
Kitchen sink with garbage grinder	5.8	.052
Laundry washer	19.5	.037
Lavatory	8.4	.021
Water closet (toilet)	24.8	.029
Hotels and motels per room	75	.15
Multiple-family dwellings or apartments	75	.20
Boarding and rooming houses (users absent during working hours)	50	.15
Tiny Homes ³ , per unit	150	.40
Mobile home	75	.20
Mobile home park per space	300	.80

Possible Design Considerations:

Increase Primary Tank Capacity Increase Grease Trap Capacity

- "Tanks are cheap insurance"
- Increase frequency of pumping
 Increase Drainfield Sizing
 Alternate/resting of drainfields
 Flow Equalization
 Pressure dosing/time dosing Required
 Pretreatment
 Outlet filters



Outlet Filters



USEPA 2002 Soil Loading Rates:

Table 4-3. Suggested hydraulic and organic loading rates for sizing infiltration surfaces

Texture	Structure		Hydraulic loading (gal/ft ² -day)		Organic loading (Ib BOD/1000ft ² -day)	
	Shape	Grade	BOD=150	BOD=30	BOD=150	BOD=30
Coarse sand, sand, loamy coarse sand, loamy sand	Single grain	Structureless	0.8	1.6	1.00	0.40
Fine sand, very fine sand, loamy fine sand, loamy very fine sand	Single grain	Structureless	0.4	1.0	0.50	0.25
	Massive	Structureless	0.2	0.6	0.25	0.15
Coorco condu loom, condu	Diate	Weak	0.2	0.5	0.25	0.13
loom	Fialy	Moderate, strong				
IOan	Prismatic, blocky,	Weak	0.4	0.7	0.50	0.18
	granular	Moderate, strong	0.6	1.0	0.75	0.25
	Massive	Structureless	0.2	0.5	0.25	0.13
Fine sandy loam, very fine	Platy	Weak, mod., strong				
sandy loam	Prismatic, blocky,	Weak	0.2	0.6	0.25	0.15
	granular	Moderate, strong	0.4	0.8	0.50	0.20

Increasing Drainfield Size

- Increased System Size: Spread out the load over more area
- Land Intensive: Large footprint
- Multiple fields are a good option
- Seasonal facilities offer a factor of safety
- In General: high BOD and/or high FOG the soil is not a good medium for treatment



Comparing hydraulic and organic mass loadings for a restaurant wastewater

Given Info:

- Design Flow: 600 gpd BOD: 800 mg/l
- Soil: loam, 0.6 gpd/sf loading rate

<u>Hydraulic Loading</u>: Required Area = (600 gpd)/(0.6 gpd/sf) = <u>1,000 sf</u> Organic loading: STE: BOD = 150 mg/lOrganic Loading = (150 mg/l)(0.6 gpd/sf)(8.34) = 7.5 x 10^4 lb BOD/sf/d Therefore 0.00075 lb BOD/ft2/d is the soils'

Therefore 0.00075 lb BOD/ft2/d is the soils design organic loading rate

Now compensating for the increased waste strength:

Area=(800 mg/l)(600 gpd)(8.34)/(7.5 x 10-4 lb BOD/sf/d)

- = (4.0 lb BOD)/(7.5 x 10-4 lb BOD/sf/d)
- = <u>5337 sf</u> (540% increase)

Restaurant Flows:

114K gpd/month (+/-) 28.5K gpd/week 3,300 gpd on avg Sat&Sun <u>6,000 gpd</u>

The Flow Trap

Design based upon flow only

Metering data

- Monthly average

Actual Flow ≠ Design Flow

Peak Flow Event Facility



Retention Time: Residential vs Commercial

Residential System:

3 bedroom home, 3 persons (US Census 2.8), 1000 gal tank, actual water usage 50 gpd/person.

Retention time: (1000 gal)/(150 gpd) = <u>6.7 days</u>

Retention Time: Residential vs Commercial

Commercial System Tank Sizing per CO Code: 48 hours

Retention time: 2 Days

Operations and Maintenance





STRESS THE IMPORTANCE OF O&M TO THE OWNER

DESIGN WITH O&M IN MIND ACCESS, SAMPLING, SAFETY

HSW Code Leaders

- MN & WI Product Review and Registration, Mass loading, Mfg must sign off and O&M required (WI requires 30-30)
- GA, CO
- NC & WA Design based upon mass loading
- VT, ME

Division of Environmental Health Maine Center for Disease Control & Prevention Department of Health and Human Services STATE OF MAINE

SUBSURFACE WASTEWATER DISPOSAL RULES

H. ADJUSTMENTS FOR EFFLUENT QUALITY

- Facilities other than residential, using water records to determine design flows, must also comply with Sections 4(G) and 4(H). (The Minimum Lot Size Law may also apply).
- Factor: Adjustment for restaurant and commercial/institutional food preparation waste: Disposal areas for restaurants must be increased by 80 percent (multiplied by 1.8) to accommodate the additional organic loading typical of such facilities. This multiplying factor may be decreased by using the following criteria:

ADJUSTMENT FACTOR FOR WASTEWATER STRENGTHS DIFFERENT FROM TYPICAL DOMESTIC WASTEWATER

Strength of wastewater entering the disposal field (BOD5 plus TSS)	Adjustment factor (AF)
30 or less milligrams liter	0.5
52	0.6
82	0.7
122	0.8
175	0.9
240	1.0
320	1.1
420	1.2
530	1.3
660	1.4
810	1.5
985	1.6
1180	1.7
1400	1.8
1645	1.9
2000	2.0

Case Study: O&G Man Camp

Design Flow: 4,999 gpd WW Strength: 350 mg/L BOD E600D Ecopod Treatment to 10/10 (spray) Primary Tank – 5,000 gal Flow EQ Tank Aeration Chamber – 8,500 gal **Chlorination Tank Pump Tank – 1,500 gal**



PLAN NATCH ACCESS THE POLILICK OF POLY-ANY ACTIVATED CARBON VENT AERATION CHLORINE TANK DECREMATOR INTER NOTE 11 TWO CO POLITICA VOLUME 8,138 GALS VOLUNE 157 GALS DUPLEY SUBMERSIBLE SOMAGE PLMPS AND PLOATS BU.FT HOLDING TANK # HET CPUS DETENTION 39,1 HRS DETENTION: 45 MIN DURIDER PLANTS AVERAGE FLOW WESTED, H1 400 AIR DROPLINES 4 TOTAL O DIFFUSERS: 16 TOTAL 100.00 ----10110 00 SHIPPING WEIGHT: 20,000 LBS. 1.82264 1,242 (m) 82 63 OPERATING WEIGHT 195,000 LBS. 81 30 THE R. P. LEWIS CO. LANSING MICH. D PANELS STPIMICLAND ECOPODPLANT NOTES. TWALV I) CHLORINATOR TYPE CALCIUM HYDROCHLORIDE TABLET 2) THREE (3) SIMPLEX RECEIVERATIVE BLOWERS AND CONTROLS 3) BIOLOGICAL LOADING: 14.6 LBS/DAY, BOD AT 350 PPMI. 104628-8 PRV R.ROWD THE R. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICH. 413 BREAKERS (50-70-50 AMPS) PRINCIPAL T NR HEADER RROW 7 STEEL ARTICLER. Contraction of the second seco DISLOTINATOR DONTACT TANK (THERE) 1 LIPTING. BLOWERS 6,000 CONNECTOR CONTROL ANNES ALCOM: CONTRACTORS. PLACE OF FREINE STOPPES NANCHAS, MOUNTING PLATE. NANDRAL MOUNTING PLATE **ELEVATION** AURDING. HANDRAL MOUNTING PLATE HANDRAL (1)(0)(0)(2) TELAN HEAD -TANK & LONG BLOMENS. in it konnen I'CONDUIT. LACCER . IN HERE 61 62 63 STAR. A STREET 20 and a state of IN.ET ¥ 44,67 180 I have a strand line of the second states of the se APACINE TIME 11 * SPT CPLO ont THE GLICK COLOR A SE 西 T STREL 27 17531 a the same of AIT HEACKIN 2010/01/07 10 Prediction in a ١. H 101-1-1010-01-02 52 7000 U. SUPPORTS. 4 TEE SCADA FARE SCADA PANEL 8.10 -22 -SUPLICK PLIMPS OF Another South Annual MARI POWER SUPPLY I 1000 INCOMPACE. MAIN FOWER 195 4020 SHUT OFF SMITCH ***** 1.4 1.1 1. 1. 1. 3 SONCARTE IL AS -500 Reader Clevill ADALTICS: TANK ROW BOLK DRIVEN DUMEX PUMPS PLAF CHANGE INERTHICK VISIOCUL **RIGHT END VIEW** LEFT END VIEW - OHIGAN (OHIGH THE 10/18/15 MODIFIED BY Edgar Alvis delta MIDLAND ECOPOD-PLANT 4,999 GPD STP W/ PUMP TANK HEY DATE REVENDA DESCRIPTION COMPANY CONTROLNTIAL ADVANCES OVERTHED NEEDS IS CONSIDERAL IT IS THE PROPERTY OF DEATS TREATED IT SYNDay, IT IS TO BE USED NOLLY FOR THE PLANDAGE PROVIDED, AND IT IS NOT TO BE ORIGIDED TO OTHERS RENOLT BE FRAME PROVIDED, AND IT IS NOT TO BE ORIGIDED TO OTHERS RENOLT BE FRAME PROVIDED. PLOT SCALE DRAWN BY DATE SHEET REV GRAWING NUMBER NTS EDGAR ALVIS 11/07/19 1 OF 1 1

PLANTS-PACKAGE ucts. ic. -ISI PRODUCT SQ5 es New02à















Discussion

In your experience what is the most challenging facility type that you had to deal with?

What is the most difficult facility type?

Conclusions:

- 1. HSW is complicated, no one-size-fits-all
- 2. It is an owner's decision: Offer options to your client
- 3. O&M, O&M, O&M design for it, stress the importance to the owner

Infiltrator High Strength Waste Design recommendations/Best Practices white paper is available

Dennis F. Hallahan, P.E. Technical Director dhallahan@infiltratorwater.com